

CROSSED PRODUCTS OF LOCALLY C^* -ALGEBRAS AND MORITA EQUIVALENCE

MARIA JOIȚA

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of strong Morita equivalence for group actions on locally C^* -algebras and prove that the crossed products associated with two strongly Morita equivalent continuous inverse limit actions of a locally compact group G on the locally C^* -algebras A and B are strongly Morita equivalent. This generalizes a result of F. Combes, Proc. London Math. Soc. 49(1984) and R. E. Curto, P.S. Muhly, D. P. Williams, Proc. Amer. Soc. 90(1984).

1. INTRODUCTION

Locally C^* -algebras are generalizations of C^* -algebras. Instead of being given by a single C^* -norm, the topology on a locally C^* -algebra is defined by a directed family of C^* -seminorms. Such important concepts as Hilbert C^* -modules, Morita equivalence, crossed products of C^* -algebras can be defined in the framework of locally C^* -algebras and many results can be extended. The proofs, however, are not quite as straightforward.

Phillips [15] introduced the notion of inverse limit action of a locally compact group on a metrizable locally C^* -algebra and he considered the crossed products of metrizable locally C^* -algebras by an inverse limit action. In [8] we considered the crossed products of locally C^* -algebras by inverse limit actions and using some results about actions of Hopf C^* -algebras on locally C^* -algebras we proved a Takai duality theorem for crossed products of locally C^* -algebras.

The notion of strong Morita equivalence of locally C^* -algebras was introduced in [6]. It is well known that if α and β are two strongly Morita equivalent actions of a locally compact group G on two C^* -algebras A and B , then the crossed products $G \times_{\alpha} A$ and $G \times_{\beta} B$ are strongly Morita equivalent [2, 3]. In this work, we extend this result in the context of locally C^* -algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 are presented some results about locally C^* -algebras [4, 5, 6], Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras [10, 14] and crossed products of locally C^* -algebras [8, 15]. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of action of a locally compact group G on a Hilbert module E over a locally

2000 Mathematical Subject Classification: 46L08, 46L05, 46L55

This research was supported by CEEX grant-code PR-D11-PT00-48/2005 from The Romanian Ministry of Education and Research.

C^* -algebra A , and we show that, if E is full, such an action induces two actions of G on the locally C^* -algebras A and $K(E)$, the locally C^* -algebra of all compact operators on E . Moreover, these actions are inverse limit actions if the action of G on E is an inverse limit action. The notion of strong Morita equivalence for group actions on locally C^* -algebras is introduced in Section 4, and we prove that the strong Morita equivalence of group actions on locally C^* -algebras is an equivalence relation. In Section 5, we prove that the crossed products of two locally C^* -algebras by two strongly Morita equivalent inverse limit actions are strongly Morita equivalent.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A locally C^* -algebra is a complete Hausdorff complex topological $*$ -algebra A whose topology is determined by its continuous C^* -seminorms in the sense that a net $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to 0 in A if and only if the net $\{p(a_i)\}_{i \in I}$ converges to 0 for all continuous C^* -seminorms p on A . The term of "locally C^* -algebra" is due to Inoue. In the literature, locally C^* -algebras have been given different names such as b^* -algebras (C. Apostol), LMC^* -algebras (G. Lassner, K. Schmüdgen) or pro- C^* -algebras (N. C. Phillips).

The set $S(A)$ of all continuous C^* -seminorms on A is directed with the order $p \geq q$ if $p(a) \geq q(a)$ for all $a \in A$. For each $p \in S(A)$, $\ker p = \{a \in A; p(a) = 0\}$ is a two-sided $*$ -ideal of A and the quotient $*$ -algebra $A/\ker p$, denoted by A_p , is a C^* -algebra in the C^* -norm induced by p . The canonical map from A to A_p is denoted by π_p^A .

For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$ there is a canonical surjective morphism of C^* -algebras $\pi_{pq}^A : A_p \rightarrow A_q$ such that $\pi_{pq}^A \circ \pi_p^A = \pi_q^A$. Then $\{A_p; \pi_{pq}^A\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$ is an inverse system of C^* -algebras and moreover, the locally C^* -algebras A and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} A_p$ are isomorphic.

A morphism of locally C^* -algebras is a continuous morphism of $*$ -algebras. Two locally C^* -algebras A and B are isomorphic if there is a bijective map $\Phi : A \rightarrow B$ such that Φ and Φ^{-1} are morphisms of locally C^* -algebras.

A representation of a locally C^* -algebra A on a Hilbert space H is a continuous $*$ -morphism φ from A to $L(H)$, the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . We say that the representation φ is non-degenerate if $\varphi(A)H$ is dense in H .

Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras are generalizations of Hilbert C^* -modules by allowing the inner product to take values in a locally C^* -algebra rather than in a C^* -algebra.

Definition 2.1. *A pre-Hilbert A -module is a complex vector space E which is also a right A -module, compatible with the complex algebra structure, equipped with an A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow A$ which is \mathbb{C} - and A -linear in its second variable and satisfies the following relations:*

- (1) $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle^* = \langle \eta, \xi \rangle$ for every $\xi, \eta \in E$;
- (2) $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for every $\xi \in E$;
- (3) $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle \geq 0$ for every $\xi \in E$; $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\xi = 0$.

We say that E is a Hilbert A -module if E is complete with respect to the topology determined by the family of seminorms $\{\bar{p}_E\}_{p \in S(A)}$, where $\bar{p}_E(\xi) = \sqrt{p(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)}$, $\xi \in E$.

Any locally C^* -algebra A is a Hilbert A -module in a natural way.

A Hilbert A -module E is full if the linear space $\langle E, E \rangle$ generated by $\{\langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \xi, \eta \in E\}$ is dense in A .

Let E be a Hilbert A -module. For $p \in S(A)$, $\ker \bar{p}_E = \{\xi \in E; \bar{p}_E(\xi) = 0\}$ is a closed submodule of E and $E_p = E / \ker \bar{p}_E$ is a Hilbert A_p -module with $(\xi + \ker \bar{p}_E)\pi_p^A(a) = \xi a + \ker \bar{p}_E$ and $\langle \xi + \ker \bar{p}_E, \eta + \ker \bar{p}_E \rangle = \pi_p^A(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$. The canonical map from E onto E_p is denoted by σ_p^E .

For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, there is a canonical surjective morphism of vector spaces σ_{pq}^E from E_p onto E_q such that $\sigma_{pq}^E(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) = \sigma_q^E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in E$. Then $\{E_p; A_p; \sigma_{pq}^E, \pi_{pq}^A\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$ is an inverse system of Hilbert C^* -modules in the following sense: $\sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p a_p) = \sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p)\pi_{pq}^A(a_p)$, $\xi_p \in E_p$, $a_p \in A_p$; $\langle \sigma_{pq}^E(\xi_p), \sigma_{pq}^E(\eta_p) \rangle = \pi_{pq}^A(\langle \xi_p, \eta_p \rangle)$, $\xi_p, \eta_p \in E_p$; $\sigma_{pp}^E(\xi_p) = \xi_p$, $\xi_p \in E_p$ and $\sigma_{qr}^E \circ \sigma_{pq}^E = \sigma_{pr}^E$ if $p \geq q \geq r$, and $\lim_{p \in S(A)} E_p$ is a Hilbert A -module with the action defined by $((\xi_p)_p)((a_p)_p) = (\xi_p a_p)_p$ and the inner product defined by $\langle (\xi_p)_p, (\eta_p)_p \rangle = (\langle \xi_p, \eta_p \rangle)_p$. Moreover, the Hilbert A -module E can be identified with $\lim_{p \in S(A)} E_p$.

Let E and F be two Hilbert A -modules. A module morphism $T : E \rightarrow F$ is adjointable if there is a module morphism $T^* : F \rightarrow E$ such that $\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, T^*\eta \rangle$ for all $\xi \in E$ and for all $\eta \in F$. If T is an adjointable module morphism from E to F , then, for each $p \in S(A)$, there is a positive constant M_p such that $\bar{p}_F(T\xi) \leq M_p \bar{p}_E(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in E$.

The set of all adjointable module morphisms from E to F is denoted by $L(E, F)$ and we write $L(E)$ for $L(E, E)$. For $p \in S(A)$, since $T(\ker \bar{p}_E) \subseteq \ker \bar{p}_F$ for all $T \in L(E, F)$, we can define a linear map $(\pi_p^A)_* : L(E, F) \rightarrow L(E_p, F_p)$ by

$$(\pi_p^A)_*(T)(\sigma_p^E(\xi)) = \sigma_p^F(T(\xi)).$$

We consider on $L(E, F)$ the topology defined by family of seminorms $\{\tilde{p}_{L(E, F)}\}_{p \in S(A)}$, where

$$\tilde{p}_{L(E, F)}(T) = \|(\pi_p^A)_*(T)\|_{L(E_p, F_p)}$$

for all $T \in L(E, F)$. Thus topologized $L(E, F)$ becomes a complete locally convex space and $L(E)$ becomes a locally C^* -algebra.

For $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, consider the linear map $(\pi_{pq}^A)_* : L(E_p, F_p) \rightarrow L(E_q, F_q)$ defined by

$$(\pi_{pq}^A)_*(T_p)(\sigma_q^E(\xi)) = \sigma_{pq}^F(T_p(\sigma_p^E(\xi)))$$

$T_p \in L(E_p, F_p)$, $\xi \in E$. Then $\{L(E_p, F_p), (\pi_{pq}^A)_*\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$ is an inverse system of Banach spaces and the complete locally convex spaces $L(E, F)$ and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} L(E_p, F_p)$ can be identified. Moreover, the locally C^* -algebras $L(E)$ and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} L(E_p)$ can be identified.

For $\xi \in E$ and $\eta \in F$ we consider the rank one homomorphism $\theta_{\eta, \xi}$ from E into F defined by $\theta_{\eta, \xi}(\zeta) = \eta \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle$. Clearly, $\theta_{\eta, \xi} \in L(E, F)$ and $\theta_{\eta, \xi}^* = \theta_{\xi, \eta}$. The linear subspace of $L(E, F)$ spanned by $\{\theta_{\eta, \xi}; \xi \in E, \eta \in F\}$ is denoted by $\Theta(E, F)$, and the closure of $\Theta(E, F)$ in $L(E, F)$ is denoted by $K(E, F)$. We write $K(E)$ for $K(E, E)$. Moreover, $K(E, F)$ may be identified with $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} K(E_p, F_p)$.

We say that the Hilbert A -modules E and F are unitarily equivalent if there is a unitary element U in $L(E, F)$ (that is, $U^*U = \text{id}_E$ and $UU^* = \text{id}_F$).

Let G be a locally compact group and let A be a locally C^* -algebra. The vector space of all continuous functions from G to A with compact support is denoted by $C_c(G, A)$.

Lemma 2.2. ([8, Lemma 3.7]) *Let $f \in C_c(G, A)$. Then there is a unique element $\int_G f(s)ds$ in A such that for any non-degenerate $*$ -representation (φ, H_φ) of A*

$$\left\langle \varphi\left(\int_G f(s)ds\right)\xi, \eta \right\rangle = \int_G \langle \varphi(f(s))\xi, \eta \rangle ds$$

for all ξ, η in H_φ . Moreover, we have:

$$(1) \int_G p(f(s)ds) \leq M_f \sup\{p(f(s)); s \in \text{supp}(f)\} \text{ for some positive constant } M_f$$

and for all $p \in S(A)$;

$$(2) \left(\int_G f(s)ds\right)a = \int_G f(s)ads \text{ for all } a \in A;$$

$$(3) \Phi\left(\int_G f(s)ds\right) = \int_G \Phi(f(s))ds \text{ for any morphism of locally } C^*\text{-algebras } \Phi : A \rightarrow B;$$

$$(4) \left(\int_G f(s)ds\right)^* = \int_G f(s)^*ds.$$

An action of G on A is a morphism of groups α from G to $\text{Aut}(A)$, the set of all isomorphisms of locally C^* -algebras from A to A . The action α is continuous if the function $t \rightarrow \alpha_t(a)$ from G to A is continuous for each $a \in A$.

An action α of G on A is an inverse limit action if we can write A as inverse limit $\varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda$ of C^* -algebras in such a way that there are actions α^λ of G on A_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\alpha_t = \varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \alpha_t^\lambda$ for all t in G [15, Definition 5.1].

The action α of G on A is a continuous inverse limit action if there is a cofinal subset of G -invariant continuous C^* -seminorms on A (a continuous C^* -seminorm p on A is G -invariant if $p(\alpha_t(a)) = p(a)$ for all a in A and for all t in G). Thus, for a continuous inverse limit action $t \rightarrow \alpha_t$ of G on A we can suppose that for each $p \in S(A)$, there is a continuous action $t \rightarrow \alpha_t^p$ of G on A_p such that $\alpha_t = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} \alpha_t^p$ for all $t \in G$.

Let $f, h \in C_c(G, A)$. The map $(s, t) \rightarrow f(t)\alpha_t(h(t^{-1}s))$ from $G \times G$ to A is an element in $C_c(G \times G, A)$ and the relation

$$(f \times h)(s) = \int_G f(t)\alpha_t(h(t^{-1}s)) dt$$

defines an element in $C_c(G, A)$, called the convolution of f and h .

The vector space $C_c(G, A)$ becomes a $*$ -algebra with convolution as product and involution defined by

$$f^\sharp(t) = \Delta(t)^{-1}\alpha_t(f(t^{-1})^*)$$

where Δ is the modular function on G .

For any $p \in S(A)$, the map $N_p : C_c(G, A) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$N_p(f) = \int_G p(f(s))ds$$

is a submultiplicative $*$ -seminorm on $C_c(G, A)$.

Let $L^1(G, A, \alpha)$ be the Hausdorff completion of $C_c(G, A)$ with respect to the topology defined by the family of submultiplicative $*$ -seminorms $\{N_p\}_{p \in S(A)}$. Then $L^1(G, A, \alpha)$ is a complete locally m -convex $*$ -algebra.

For each $p \in S(A)$ the map $n_p : L^1(G, A, \alpha) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$n_p(f) = \sup\{\|\varphi(f)\|; \varphi \in \mathcal{R}_p(L^1(G, A, \alpha))\},$$

where $\mathcal{R}_p(L^1(G, A, \alpha))$ denotes the set of all non-degenerate representations φ of $L^1(G, A, \alpha)$ on Hilbert spaces which verify the relation

$$\|\varphi(f)\| \leq N_p(f)$$

for all $f \in L^1(G, A, \alpha)$, is a C^* -seminorm on $L^1(G, A, \alpha)$. The Hausdorff completion of $L^1(G, A, \alpha)$ with respect to the topology determined by the family of C^* -seminorms $\{n_p\}_{p \in S(A)}$ is a locally C^* -algebra, denoted by $G \times_\alpha A$, and called the crossed product of A by the action α . Moreover,

$$A \times_\alpha G = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} G \times_{\alpha^p} A_p$$

up to an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras.

3. GROUP ACTIONS ON HILBERT MODULES

Let A and B be two locally C^* -algebras, let E be a Hilbert A -module and let F be a Hilbert B -module.

Definition 3.1. A map $u : E \rightarrow F$ is called *morphism of Hilbert modules* if there is a morphism of locally C^* -algebras $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\langle u(\xi), u(\eta) \rangle = \alpha(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle)$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$. If we want to specify the morphism α of locally C^* -algebras, we say that u is an α -morphism.

Remark 3.2. Let $u : E \rightarrow F$ be an α -morphism. Then:

- (1) u is linear;
- (2) u is continuous;
- (3) $u(\xi a) = u(\xi) \alpha(a)$ for all $a \in A$ and for all $\xi \in E$;
- (4) if α is injective, then u is injective.

Definition 3.3. An isomorphism of Hilbert modules is a bijective map $u : E \rightarrow F$ such that u and u^{-1} are morphisms of Hilbert modules.

Proposition 3.4. Let E be a Hilbert A -module, let F be a Hilbert B -module and let $u : E \rightarrow F$ be an α -morphism of Hilbert modules. If E and F are full and u is an isomorphism of Hilbert modules, then α is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras.

Proof. Indeed, since $u^{-1} : F \rightarrow E$ is a morphism of Hilbert modules, there is a morphism of locally C^* -algebras $\beta : B \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\beta(\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle) = \langle u^{-1}(\eta_1), u^{-1}(\eta_2) \rangle$$

for all $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in F$. Then we have:

$$(\alpha \circ \beta)(\langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle) = \alpha(\langle u^{-1}(\eta_1), u^{-1}(\eta_2) \rangle) = \langle \eta_1, \eta_2 \rangle$$

for all $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in F$ and

$$(\beta \circ \alpha)(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle) = \beta(\langle u(\xi_1), u(\xi_2) \rangle) = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in E$. From these facts and taking into account that E and F are full and the maps α and β are continuous, we conclude that $\alpha \circ \beta = \text{id}_B$ and $\beta \circ \alpha = \text{id}_A$. Therefore α is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras. \square

For a Hilbert A -module E ,

$$\text{Aut}(E) = \{u : E \rightarrow E; u \text{ is an isomorphism of Hilbert modules} \}$$

is a group.

Definition 3.5. Let G be a locally compact group. An action of G on E is a morphism of groups $g \rightarrow u_g$ from G to $\text{Aut}(E)$.

The action $g \rightarrow u_g$ of G on E is continuous if the map $g \rightarrow u_g(\xi)$ from G to E is continuous for each $\xi \in E$.

An action $g \rightarrow u_g$ of G on E is an inverse limit action if we can write E as an inverse limit $\varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ of Hilbert C^* -modules in such a way that there are actions $g \rightarrow u_g^\lambda$ of G on E_λ , $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $u_g = \varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} u_g^\lambda$ for each $g \in G$.

Remark 3.6. Suppose that $g \rightarrow u_g$ is an inverse limit action of G on E . Then $E = \varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E_\lambda$ and $u_g = \varprojlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} u_g^\lambda$ for each $g \in G$, where $g \rightarrow u_g^\lambda$ is an action of G on E_λ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $g \rightarrow u_g^\lambda$ is an action of G on E_λ ,

$$\|u_g^\lambda(\sigma_\lambda(\xi))\|_{E_\lambda} = \|\sigma_\lambda(\xi)\|_{E_\lambda}$$

for each $\xi \in E$, and for all $g \in G$ [2, pp. 292]. This implies that

$$\bar{p}_\lambda(u_g(\xi)) = \bar{p}_\lambda(\xi)$$

for all $g \in G$ and for all $\xi \in E$.

From these facts, we conclude that $g \rightarrow u_g$ is an inverse limit action of G on E , if $S(G, A) = \{p \in S(A); \bar{p}_E(u_g(\xi)) = \bar{p}_E(\xi) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and for all } \xi \in E\}$ is a cofinal subset of $S(A)$. Therefore, if $g \rightarrow u_g$ is an inverse limit action of G on E , we can suppose that $u_g = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} u_g^p$.

Remark 3.7. Let $g \rightarrow u_g$ be an inverse limit action of G on E . By Remark 3.6, we can suppose that $u_g = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} u_g^p$. If the actions $g \rightarrow u_g^p$ of G on E_p , $p \in S(A)$ are all continuous, then, clearly, the action $g \rightarrow u_g$ of G on E is continuous.

Conversely, suppose that the action $g \rightarrow u_g$ of G on E is continuous. Let $p \in S(A)$, $g_0 \in G$, $\xi_0 \in E$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the map $g \rightarrow u_g(\xi_0)$ from G to E is continuous, there is a neighborhood U_0 of g_0 such that

$$\bar{p}_E(u_g(\xi_0) - u_{g_0}(\xi_0)) \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $g \in U_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\xi_0)) - u_{g_0}^p(\sigma_p^E(\xi_0))\|_{E_p} &= \|\sigma_p^E(u_g(\xi_0)) - \sigma_p^E(u_{g_0}(\xi_0))\|_{E_p} \\ &= \bar{p}_E(u_g(\xi_0) - u_{g_0}(\xi_0)) \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in U_0$. This shows that the action $g \rightarrow u_g^p$ of G on E_p is continuous. Thus we showed that the inverse limit action $g \rightarrow u_g$ of G on E is continuous if and only if the actions $g \rightarrow u_g^p$ of G on E_p , $p \in S(A)$ are all continuous.

Proposition 3.8. *Let G be a locally compact group and let E be a full Hilbert A -module. Any action $g \rightarrow u_g$ of G on E induces an action $g \rightarrow \alpha_g^u$ of G on A such that*

$$\alpha_g^u(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \langle u_g(\xi), u_g(\eta) \rangle$$

for all $g \in G$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in E$ and an action $g \rightarrow \beta_g^u$ of G on $K(E)$ such that

$$\beta_g^u(\theta_{\xi, \eta}) = \theta_{u_g(\xi), u_g(\eta)}$$

for all $g \in G$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in E$. Moreover, if $g \rightarrow u_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on E , then the actions of G on A respectively $K(E)$ induced by u are continuous inverse limit actions.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Since E is full and $u_g \in \text{Aut}(E)$, there is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras $\alpha_g^u : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\alpha_g^u(\langle \xi, \eta \rangle) = \langle u_g(\xi), u_g(\eta) \rangle$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$. It is not difficult to check that the map $g \rightarrow \alpha_g^u$ from G to $\text{Aut}(A)$ is a morphism of groups. Therefore $g \rightarrow \alpha_g^u$ is an action of G on A .

Let $g \in G$. Consider the linear map $\beta_g^u : \Theta(E) \rightarrow \Theta(E)$ defined by

$$\beta_g^u(\theta_{\xi, \eta}) = \theta_{u_g(\xi), u_g(\eta)}.$$

It is not difficult to check that β_g^u is a $*$ -morphism.

Let $p \in S(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{L(E)}(\beta_g^u(\theta_{\xi, \eta})) &= \sup\{\bar{p}_E(u_g(\xi) \langle u_g(\eta), u_g(\zeta) \rangle); \bar{p}_E(u_g(\zeta)) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{\bar{p}_E(u_g(\xi) \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle); \bar{p}_E(u_g(\zeta)) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{(p \circ \alpha_g^u)(\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle)^{1/2}; (p \circ \alpha_g^u)(\zeta) \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{r(\langle \zeta, \eta \rangle \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle)^{1/2}; r(\zeta) \leq 1\} \\ &= \tilde{r}_{L(E)}(\theta_{\xi, \eta}) \end{aligned}$$

where $r = p \circ \alpha_g^u \in S(A)$, for all $\xi, \eta \in E$. From this fact, we conclude that β_g^u extends to a morphism from $K(E)$ to $K(E)$, denoted also by β_g^u . Moreover, since

$$(\beta_g^u \circ \beta_{g^{-1}}^u)(\theta_{\xi, \eta}) = \theta_{\xi, \eta} = (\beta_{g^{-1}}^u \circ \beta_g^u)(\theta_{\xi, \eta})$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$, β_g^u is invertible and $(\beta_g^u)^{-1} = \beta_{g^{-1}}^u$. Therefore $\beta_g^u \in \text{Aut}(K(E))$. It is not difficult to check that the map $g \rightarrow \beta_g^u$ is a morphism of groups and so it defines an action of G on $K(E)$.

Now suppose that $g \rightarrow u_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on E . Let $p \in S(A)$. Then the map $g \rightarrow u_g^p$ is a continuous action of G on E_p and so it induces a continuous action $g \rightarrow \alpha_g^{u^p}$ of G on A_p such that

$$\alpha_g^{u^p}(\langle \sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\eta) \rangle) = \langle u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\eta)) \rangle$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$ and for all $g \in G$ and a continuous action $g \rightarrow \beta_g^{u^p}$ of G on $K(E_p)$ such that

$$\beta_g^{u^p} \left(\theta_{\sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\eta)} \right) = \theta_{u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\eta))}$$

for all $g \in G$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in E$ (see, for example, [2]).

Let $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$ and $g \in G$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\pi_{pq}^A \circ \alpha_g^{u^p} \right) (\langle \sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\eta) \rangle) &= \pi_{pq}^A (\langle u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\eta)) \rangle) \\ &= \langle (\sigma_{pq}^E \circ u_g^p)(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), (\sigma_{pq}^E \circ u_g^p)(\sigma_p^E(\eta)) \rangle \\ &= \langle u_g^q(\sigma_q^E(\xi)), u_g^q(\sigma_q^E(\eta)) \rangle \\ &= \alpha_g^{u^q} (\langle \sigma_q^E(\xi), \sigma_q^E(\eta) \rangle) \\ &= \left(\alpha_g^{u^q} \circ \pi_{pq}^A \right) (\langle \sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\eta) \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\pi_{pq}^A)_* \circ \beta_g^{u^p} \right) \left(\theta_{\sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\eta)} \right) &= (\pi_{pq}^A)_* \left(\theta_{u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), u_g^p(\sigma_p^E(\eta))} \right) \\ &= \theta_{(\sigma_{pq}^E \circ u_g^p)(\sigma_p^E(\xi)), (\sigma_{pq}^E \circ u_g^p)(\sigma_p^E(\eta))} \\ &= \theta_{u_g^q(\sigma_q^E(\xi)), u_g^q(\sigma_q^E(\eta))} \\ &= \beta_g^{u^q} \left(\theta_{\sigma_q^E(\xi), \sigma_q^E(\eta)} \right) \\ &= \left(\beta_g^{u^q} \circ (\pi_{pq}^A)_* \right) \left(\theta_{\sigma_p^E(\xi), \sigma_p^E(\eta)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$. From these relations, we conclude that $(\alpha_g^{u^p})_p$ and $(\beta_g^{u^p})_p$ are inverse systems of C^* -isomorphisms, and then $\lim_{\leftarrow p \in S(A)} \alpha_g^{u^p}$ and $\lim_{\leftarrow p \in S(A)} \beta_g^{u^p}$ are isomorphisms of locally C^* -algebras. It is not difficult to check that $g \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow p \in S(A)} \alpha_g^{u^p}$ and $g \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow p \in S(A)} \beta_g^{u^p}$ are continuous inverse limit actions of G on A respectively $K(E)$.

Moreover, $\alpha_g^u = \lim_{\leftarrow p \in S(A)} \alpha_g^{u^p}$ of G and $\beta_g^u = \lim_{\leftarrow p \in S(A)} \beta_g^{u^p}$ for all $g \in G$. \square

4. MORITA EQUIVALENCE OF GROUP ACTIONS ON LOCALLY C^* -ALGEBRAS

In this section we introduce the notion of (strong) Morita equivalence of group actions on locally C^* -algebras and show that this is an equivalence relation.

Definition 4.1. Let G be a locally compact group, let A and B be two locally C^* -algebras. Two actions $g \rightarrow \alpha_g$ and $g \rightarrow \beta_g$ of G on A respectively B are conjugate if there is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\alpha_g = \varphi^{-1} \circ \beta_g \circ \varphi$ for each $g \in G$.

Remark 4.2. Conjugacy of group actions on locally C^* -algebras is an equivalence relation.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $g \rightarrow \alpha_g$ and $g \rightarrow \beta_g$ be two conjugate actions of G on the locally C^* -algebras A respectively B . If $g \rightarrow \alpha_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on A , then $g \rightarrow \beta_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on B .*

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha_g = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} \alpha_g^p$ for each $g \in G$. Let $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras such that $\alpha_g = \varphi^{-1} \circ \beta_g \circ \varphi$ for each $g \in G$.

Let $p \in S(A)$. Then $p \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is a continuous C^* -seminorm on B . We show that $\{p \circ \varphi^{-1}\}_{p \in S(A)}$ is a cofinal subset of $S(B)$. For this, let $q \in S(B)$. Since φ is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras, there is $p \in S(A)$ such that

$$q(\varphi(a)) \leq p(a)$$

for all $a \in A$. Then

$$q(b) = q(\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(b))) \leq p(\varphi^{-1}(b)) = (p \circ \varphi^{-1})(b)$$

for all $b \in B$. Therefore $\{p \circ \varphi^{-1}\}_{p \in S(A)}$ is a cofinal subset of $S(B)$ and thus we can identify B with $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}$.

For each $p \in S(A)$, there is an isomorphism of C^* -algebras $\varphi_p : A_p \rightarrow B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}$ such that

$$\varphi_p \circ \pi_p^A = \pi_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}^B \circ \varphi$$

since $\ker \pi_p^A = \ker (\pi_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}^B \circ \varphi)$. The map $g \rightarrow \beta_g^p$, where $\beta_g^p = \varphi_p \circ \alpha_g^p \circ \varphi_p^{-1}$, is a continuous action of G on $B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}$ which is conjugate with α^p . It is not difficult to check that $(\beta_g^p)_p$ is an inverse system of C^* -isomorphisms and $\beta_g = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} \beta_g^p$ for each $g \in G$. Therefore $g \rightarrow \beta_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on B . \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let $g \rightarrow \alpha_g$ and $g \rightarrow \beta_g$ be two continuous inverse limit actions of G on the locally C^* -algebras A and B . If the actions α and β are conjugate, then the crossed products $G \times_\alpha A$ and $G \times_\beta B$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, we can suppose that $S(B) = \{p \circ \varphi^{-1}\}_{p \in S(A)}$, where φ is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras from A to B such that $\beta_g = \varphi \circ \alpha_g \circ \varphi^{-1}$. Then $B = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}$, $\alpha_g = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} \alpha_g^p$ for each $g \in G$ and $\beta_g = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} \beta_g^p$ for each $g \in G$. Moreover, for each $p \in S(A)$, the actions $g \rightarrow \alpha_g^p$ of G on A_p and $g \rightarrow \beta_g^p$ of G on $B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}$ are conjugate.

Let $p \in S(A)$, and let φ_p be the isomorphism of C^* -algebras from A_p to $B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}$ such that $\beta_g^p = \varphi_p \circ \alpha_g^p \circ \varphi_p^{-1}$. Then the linear map $\phi_p : C_c(G, A_p) \rightarrow C_c(G, B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}})$ defined by

$$\phi_p(f_p) = \varphi_p \circ f_p$$

extends to an isomorphism of C^* -algebras from $G \times_{\alpha^p} A_p$ to $G \times_{\beta^p} B_{p \circ \varphi^{-1}}$ [17, pp. 66]. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\pi_{pq}^{G \times \beta B} \circ \phi_p \right) (f_p) &= \pi_{pq}^B \circ \phi_p (f_p) = \pi_{pq}^B \circ \varphi_p \circ f_p \\ &= (\varphi_q \circ \pi_{pq}^A) \circ f_p = \varphi_q \circ (\pi_{pq}^A \circ f_p) \\ &= \phi_q (\pi_{pq}^{G \times \alpha A} (f_p)) = (\phi_q \circ \pi_{pq}^{G \times \alpha A}) (f_p) \end{aligned}$$

for all $f_p \in C_c(G, A_p)$ and for all $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, $(\phi_p)_p$ is an inverse system of isomorphisms of C^* -algebras and then $\phi = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} \phi_p$ is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras from $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} G \times_{\alpha^p} A_p$ to $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} G \times_{\beta^p} B_p$. Therefore the locally C^* -algebras $G \times_{\alpha} A$ and $G \times_{\beta} B$ are isomorphic. \square

Definition 4.5. Let G be a locally compact group, let A and B be two locally C^* -algebras, and let $g \rightarrow \alpha_g$ and $g \rightarrow \beta_g$ be two actions of G on A and B . We say that α and β are (strongly) Morita equivalent, if there exist a full Hilbert A -module E , and a (continuous) action $g \rightarrow u_g$ of G on E such that the actions of G on A and $K(E)$ induced by u are conjugate with the actions α respectively β . We write $\alpha \sim_{E,u} \beta$ if the actions α and β are Morita equivalent and $\alpha \sim_{E,u}^s \beta$ if the actions α and β are strongly Morita equivalent.

Remark 4.6. Two conjugate (continuous inverse limit) actions of G on the locally C^* -algebras A and B are (strongly) Morita equivalent.

Remark 4.7. If $\alpha \sim_{E,u}^s \beta$ and α is a continuous inverse limit action, then u and β are continuous inverse limit actions. Indeed, for any $p \in S(A)$ we have

$$\overline{p}_E(u_g(\xi))^2 = p(\langle u_g(\xi), u_g(\xi) \rangle) = p(\alpha_g(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)) = \overline{p}_E(\xi)^2$$

for all $g \in G$ and for all $\xi \in E$, and then, by Remark 3.6, $g \rightarrow u_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on E . Moreover, by Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 4.3, $g \rightarrow \beta_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action.

Let E be a full Hilbert A -module and let $\tilde{E} = K(E, A)$. Then \tilde{E} is a Hilbert $K(E)$ -module in a natural way [6, pp. 805-806]. Moreover, \tilde{E} is full and the locally C^* -algebras $K(\tilde{E})$ and A are isomorphic [6, pp. 805-806].

Proposition 4.8. Let G be a locally compact group and let $g \rightarrow u_g$ be an action of G on a full Hilbert A -module E . Then u induces an action $g \rightarrow \tilde{u}_g$ of G on the Hilbert $K(E)$ -module \tilde{E} such that $\tilde{u}_g(\theta_{a,\xi}) = \theta_{\alpha_g^u(a), u_g(\xi)}$ for all $a \in A$ and for all $\xi \in E$. Moreover, if u is a continuous inverse limit action, then \tilde{u} is a continuous inverse limit action.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Consider the linear map $\tilde{u}_g : \Theta(E, A) \rightarrow \Theta(E, A)$ defined by

$$\tilde{u}_g(\theta_{a,\xi}) = \theta_{\alpha_g^u(a), u_g(\xi)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{p}_{\tilde{E}}(\tilde{u}_g(\theta_{a,\xi})) &= \tilde{p}_{L(E,A)}(\tilde{u}_g(\theta_{a,\xi})) = \tilde{p}_{L(E,A)}(\theta_{\alpha_g^u(a), u_g(\xi)}) \\
&= \sup\{p(\alpha_g^u(a) \langle u_g(\xi), u_g(\zeta) \rangle); \tilde{p}_E(u_g(\zeta)) \leq 1\} \\
&= \tilde{r}_{L(E,A)}(\theta_{a,\xi}) = \tilde{r}_{\tilde{E}}(\theta_{a,\xi})
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = p \circ \alpha_g^u \in S(A)$, for all $a \in A$ and for all $\xi \in E$, \tilde{u}_g extends to a continuous linear map, denoted also by \tilde{u}_g , from $K(E, A)$ to $K(E, A)$. From

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \tilde{u}_g(\theta_{a,\xi}), \tilde{u}_g(\theta_{b,\eta}) \rangle &= \left\langle \theta_{\alpha_g^u(a), u_g(\xi)}, \theta_{\alpha_g^u(b), u_g(\eta)} \right\rangle \\
&= \theta_{u_g(\xi), \alpha_g^u(a)} \circ \theta_{\alpha_g^u(b), u_g(\eta)} \\
&= \theta_{u_g(\xi a^*), u_g(\eta b^*)} = \beta_g^u(\theta_{\xi a^* \eta b^*}) \\
&= \beta_g^u(\langle \theta_{a,\xi}, \theta_{b,\eta} \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in E$ and for all $a, b \in A$, and taking into account that \tilde{u}_g is continuous and $\Theta(E, A)$ is dense in $K(E, A)$, we conclude that \tilde{u}_g is a morphism of Hilbert modules. Moreover, since \tilde{u}_g is invertible and $(\tilde{u}_g)^{-1} = \tilde{u}_{g^{-1}}$, \tilde{u}_g is an isomorphism of Hilbert modules. A simple calculation shows that the map $g \rightarrow \tilde{u}_g$ is an action of G on \tilde{E} .

Now, we suppose that $g \rightarrow u_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action. Then $u_g = \varprojlim_{p \in \tilde{S}(A)} u_g^p$ for each $g \in G$ and $g \rightarrow u_g^p$ is a continuous action of G on E_p for each $p \in \tilde{S}(A)$. For each $p \in \tilde{S}(A)$, the continuous action u^p of G on E_p induces a continuous action \tilde{u}^p of G on \tilde{E}_p . It is not difficult to check that for each $g \in G$, $(\tilde{u}_g^p)_p$ is an inverse system of isomorphisms of Hilbert modules and then $g \rightarrow \varprojlim_{p \in \tilde{S}(A)} \tilde{u}_g^p$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on $\varprojlim_{p \in \tilde{S}(A)} \tilde{E}_p$. Since the Hilbert A -modules \tilde{E} and $\varprojlim_{p \in \tilde{S}(A)} \tilde{E}_p$ are unitarily equivalent [6, pp. 805-806], the action $g \rightarrow \varprojlim_{p \in \tilde{S}(A)} \tilde{u}_g^p$ of G on $\varprojlim_{p \in \tilde{S}(A)} \tilde{E}_p$ can be identified with an action of G on \tilde{E} . Moreover,

$$\left(\varprojlim_{p \in \tilde{S}(A)} \tilde{u}_g^p \right) (\theta_{a,\xi}) = \theta_{\alpha_g^u(a), u_g(\xi)}$$

for all $a \in A$ and for all $\xi \in E$. □

Remark 4.9. Let G be a locally compact group and let $g \rightarrow u_g$ be an action of G on a full Hilbert A -module E .

- (1) The action of G on $K(E)$ induced by \tilde{u} coincides with the action of G on $K(E)$ induced by u .
- (2) Since $\varphi : K(\tilde{E}) \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$\varphi(\theta_{\theta_{a,\xi}, \theta_{b,\eta}}) = \langle \xi a^*, \eta b^* \rangle$$

is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras, and since

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_g^u \circ \varphi)(\theta_{\theta_{a,\xi}, \theta_{b,\eta}}) &= \alpha_g^u(\langle \xi a^*, \eta b^* \rangle) \\
&= \langle u_g(\xi) \alpha_g^u(a)^*, u_g(\eta) \alpha_g^u(b)^* \rangle \\
&= \varphi\left(\theta_{\theta_{\alpha_g^u(a), u_g(\xi)}, \theta_{\alpha_g^u(b), u_g(\eta)}}\right) \\
&= \varphi(\theta_{\tilde{u}_g(\theta_{a,\xi}), \tilde{u}_g(\theta_{b,\eta})}) \\
&= (\varphi \circ \beta_g^{\tilde{u}})(\theta_{\theta_{a,\xi}, \theta_{b,\eta}})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$, for all $\xi, \eta \in E$ and for all $g \in G$, the action of G on A induced by u is conjugate with the action of G on $K(\tilde{E})$ induced by \tilde{u} .

Let E be a Hilbert A -module, let F be a Hilbert B -module and let $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(F)$ be a non-degenerate representation of A on F . The tensor product $E \otimes_A F$ of E and F over A becomes a pre-Hilbert B -module with the action of B on $E \otimes_A F$ defined by

$$(\xi \otimes_A \eta)b = \xi \otimes_A \eta b$$

and the inner product defined by

$$\langle \xi_1 \otimes_A \eta_1, \xi_2 \otimes_A \eta_2 \rangle_\Phi = \langle \eta_1, \Phi(\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle) \eta_2 \rangle.$$

The completion of $E \otimes_A F$ with respect to the topology determined by the inner product is called the interior tensor product of the Hilbert modules E and F using Φ and it is denoted by $E \otimes_\Phi F$ [11, Proposition 4.1]. An element in $E \otimes_\Phi F$ is denoted by $\xi \otimes_\Phi \eta$.

Definition 4.10. Let $g \rightarrow \alpha_g$ be an action of G on A and let $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(F)$ be a non-degenerate representation of A on a Hilbert B -module F . An action $g \rightarrow v_g$ of G on F is Φ covariant relative to α if

$$v_g \Phi(a) v_{g^{-1}} = \Phi(\alpha_g(a))$$

for all $a \in A$ and for all $g \in G$.

Proposition 4.11. Let G be a locally compact group, let E be a full Hilbert A -module, let F be a full Hilbert B -module and let $\Phi : A \rightarrow L(F)$ be a non-degenerate representation of A on F . If $g \rightarrow u_g$ is an action of G on E and $g \rightarrow v_g$ is an action of G on F which is Φ covariant relative to α^u (the action of G on A induced by u), then there is a unique action $g \rightarrow w_g^{u,v}$ of G on $E \otimes_\Phi F$ such that

$$w_g^{u,v}(\xi \otimes_\Phi \eta) = u_g(\xi) \otimes_\Phi v_g(\eta)$$

for all $\xi \in E$, for all $\eta \in F$ and for all $g \in G$. Moreover, if $g \rightarrow u_g$ is a continuous action of G on E and $g \rightarrow v_g$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on F , then $g \rightarrow w_g^{u,v}$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on $E \otimes_\Phi F$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. Since

$$u_g(\xi a) \otimes_{\Phi} v_g(\eta) = u_g(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} v_g \Phi(a)(\eta)$$

for all $\xi \in E$, for all $\eta \in F$ and for all $a \in A$, and since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{q}_{E \otimes_{\Phi} F} (u_g(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} v_g(\eta))^2 &= q(\langle v_g(\eta), \Phi(\alpha_g^u(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)) v_g(\eta) \rangle) \\ &= q(\langle v_g(\eta), v_g(\Phi(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)(\eta)) \rangle) \\ &= q(\alpha_g^v(\langle \eta, \Phi(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)(\eta) \rangle)) \\ &= (q \circ \alpha_g^v)(\langle \xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta, \xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta \rangle_{\Phi}) \\ &= \overline{r}_{E \otimes_{\Phi} F}(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta)^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $r = q \circ \alpha_g^v \in S(B)$, for all $\xi \in E$ and for all $\eta \in F$, there is a continuous linear map $w_g^{u,v} : E \otimes_{\Phi} F \rightarrow E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ such that

$$w_g^{u,v}(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta) = u_g(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} v_g(\eta).$$

From

$$\langle w_g^{u,v}(\xi_1 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_1), w_g^{u,v}(\xi_2 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_2) \rangle_{\Phi} = \alpha_g^v(\langle \xi_1 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_1, \xi_2 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_2 \rangle_{\Phi})$$

for all $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in E$ and for all $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in F$ and taking into account that $w_g^{u,v} \circ w_{g^{-1}}^{u,v} = w_{g^{-1}}^{u,v} \circ w_g^{u,v} = \text{id}_{E \otimes_{\Phi} F}$, we conclude that $w_g^{u,v} \in \text{Aut}(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$. Since $\{\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta; \xi \in E, \eta \in F\}$ generates $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$, $w_g^{u,v}$ is the unique linear map from $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ to $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ such that

$$w_g^{u,v}(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta) = u_g(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} v_g(\eta)$$

for all $\xi \in E$, for all $\eta \in F$ and for all $g \in G$. It is not difficult to check that $g \rightarrow w_g^{u,v}$ is an action of G on $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$.

To show that the action $w^{u,v}$ of G on $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ is a continuous inverse limit action if u is continuous and v is a continuous inverse limit action, we partition the proof into two steps.

Step 1. We suppose that B is a C^* -algebra.

Let $x_0 \in E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is $\xi_0 \in E$ and $\eta_0 \in F$ such that

$$\|x_0 - \xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0\| \leq \varepsilon/6.$$

Since Φ is a representation of A on F there is $p \in S(A)$ such that

$$\|\Phi(a)\| \leq p(a)$$

for all $a \in A$, and since $g \rightarrow u_g$ and $g \rightarrow v_g$ are continuous, there is a neighborhood U_0 of g_0 such that

$$\overline{p}_E(u_g(\xi_0) - \xi_0) \leq \min\{\sqrt{\varepsilon/6}, \varepsilon/(6\|\eta_0\|)\}$$

and

$$\|v_g(\eta_0) - \eta_0\| \leq \min\{\sqrt{\varepsilon/6}, \varepsilon/(6\overline{p}_E(\xi_0))\}$$

for all $g \in U_0$. Then, since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta\|^2 &= \|\langle \xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta, \xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta \rangle_{\Phi}\| = \|\langle \eta, \Phi(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \eta \rangle\| \\ &\leq \|\eta\| \|\Phi(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) \eta\| \leq \|\eta\|^2 \|\Phi(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle)\| \\ &\leq \|\eta\|^2 p(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle) = \|\eta\|^2 \bar{p}_E(\xi)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in E$ and for all $\eta \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_g^{u,v}(x_0) - x_0\| &\leq \|w_g^{u,v}(x_0 - \xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0)\| + \|w_g^{u,v}(\xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0) - \xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0\| \\ &\quad + \|x_0 - \xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0\| \\ &\leq 2\|x_0 - \xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0\| + \|u_g(\xi_0) \otimes_{\Phi} v_g(\eta_0) - \xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + \|(u_g(\xi_0) - \xi_0) \otimes_{\Phi} (v_g(\eta_0) - \eta_0)\| \\ &\quad + \|\xi_0 \otimes_{\Phi} (v_g(\eta_0) - \eta_0)\| + \|(u_g(\xi_0) - \xi_0) \otimes_{\Phi} \eta_0\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon/3 + \|v_g(\eta_0) - \eta_0\| \bar{p}_E(u_g(\xi_0) - \xi_0) \\ &\quad + \|v_g(\eta_0) - \eta_0\| \bar{p}_E(\xi_0) + \|\eta_0\| \bar{p}_E(u_g(\xi_0) - \xi_0) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $g \in U_0$. Therefore the action $w^{u,v}$ of G on $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ is continuous.

Step 2. The general case when B is a locally C^* -algebra. By [11, Proposition 4.2], $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ can be identified with $\varprojlim_{q \in S(B)} E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q$, where $\Phi_q = (\pi_q^B)_* \circ \Phi$ for each $q \in S(B)$.

Let $q \in S(B)$. From

$$\begin{aligned} v_g^q \Phi_q(a) v_{g^{-1}}^q(\sigma_q^F(\eta)) &= (v_g^q \Phi_q(a)) (\sigma_q^F(v_{g^{-1}}(\eta))) \\ &= v_g^q(\sigma_q^F(\Phi(a) v_{g^{-1}}(\eta))) \\ &= \sigma_q^F(v_g^q \Phi(a) v_{g^{-1}}(\eta)) = \sigma_q^F(\Phi(\alpha_g^u(a))(\eta)) \\ &= \Phi_q(\alpha_g^u(a)) (\sigma_q^F(\eta)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta \in F$, for all $a \in A$ and for all $g \in G$, we conclude that the action $g \rightarrow v_g^q$ of G on F_q is Φ_q covariant relative to α^u . Then, by the first step of this proof, $g \rightarrow w_g^{u,v^q}$ is a continuous action of G on $E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q$. It is not difficult to check that for each $g \in G$, $(w_g^{u,v^q})_q$ is an inverse system of isomorphisms of Hilbert C^* -modules, and the map $g \rightarrow \varprojlim_{q \in S(B)} w_g^{u,v^q}$ is a continuous inverse limit action of G on

$\varprojlim_{q \in S(B)} E \otimes_{\Phi_q} F_q$. Moreover, this action can be identified with the action $g \rightarrow w_g^{u,v}$ of G on $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$. \square

Remark 4.12. (1) The action of G on B induced by the action $w^{u,v}$ of G on $E \otimes_{\Phi} F$ coincides with the action of G on B induced by v .

(2) Suppose that $\Phi : A \rightarrow K(E)$ is an isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras. Then $\Phi_* : K(E) \rightarrow K(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ defined by $\Phi_*(T)(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta) = T(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} \eta$ is an

isomorphism of locally C^* -algebras [11, Proposition 4.4 and Corollary 4.6]. In this case, the action of G on $K(E \otimes_{\Phi} F)$ induced by $w^{u,v}$ is conjugate with the action of G on $K(E)$ induced by u . Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\beta_g^{w^{u,v}} \circ \Phi_*)(T)(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta) &= (w_g^{u,w} \Phi_*(T) w_{g^{-1}}^{u,w})(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta) \\
 &= (w_g^{u,w} \Phi_*(T))(u_{g^{-1}}(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} v_{g^{-1}}(\eta)) \\
 &= w_g^{u,w}(T(u_{g^{-1}}(\xi)) \otimes_{\Phi} v_{g^{-1}}(\eta)) \\
 &= u_g T u_{g^{-1}}(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} \eta \\
 &= \beta_g^u(T)(\xi) \otimes_{\Phi} \eta \\
 &= \Phi_*(\beta_g^u(T))(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta) \\
 &= (\Phi_* \circ \beta_g^u)(T)(\xi \otimes_{\Phi} \eta)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in E$ and for all $\eta \in F$.

Proposition 4.13. *Morita equivalence of group actions on locally C^* -algebras is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Let α be an action of G on the locally C^* -algebra A . Clearly, we can regard α as an action of G on the Hilbert A -module A . Since the Hilbert A -module A is full and since $K(A)$ is isomorphic with A , $\alpha \sim_{A,\alpha} \alpha$. Therefore the relation is reflexive.

From Proposition 4.8 and Remark 4.9, we conclude that the relation is symmetric.

To show that the relation is transitive, let α, β, γ be three actions of G on the locally C^* -algebras A, B and C such that $\alpha \sim_{E,u} \beta$ and $\beta \sim_{F,v} \gamma$. By [6, the proof of Proposition 4.4], $F \otimes_i E$, where i is the embedding of $K(E)$ in $L(E)$, is a full Hilbert A -module such that the locally C^* -algebras $K(E)$ and $K(F \otimes_i E)$ are isomorphic. Since

$$i(\beta_g^u(\theta_{\xi,\eta})) = u_g i(\theta_{\xi,\eta}) u_{g^{-1}}$$

for all $g \in G$ and for all $\xi, \eta \in E$, the action u is covariant with respect to i relative to β^u . Then, from Proposition 4.11 and Remark 4.12, we conclude that the pair $(F \otimes_i E, w^{u,v})$ implements a Morita equivalence between α and γ . \square

In the same way as in the proof of Proposition 4.13 and using Remark 4.7, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.14. *Strong Morita equivalence of continuous inverse limit group actions on locally C^* -algebras is an equivalence relation.*

5. CROSSED PRODUCTS OF LOCALLY C^* -ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH STRONG MORITA EQUIVALENT ACTIONS

Let G be a locally compact group and let E be a Hilbert module over a locally C^* -algebra A .

Let $C_c(G, E)$ be the vector space of all continuous functions from G to E with compact support.

Remark 5.1. If $\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \in C_c(G, E)$, then the function $\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \rangle : G \rightarrow A$ defined by $\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \rangle(s) = \langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(s) \rangle$ is with compact support.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\widehat{\xi} \in C_c(G, E)$. Then there is a unique element $\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \in E$, such that

$$\left\langle \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds, \eta \right\rangle = \int_G \langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \eta \rangle ds$$

for all $\eta \in E$. Moreover,

- (1) $\overline{p}_E \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right) \leq M_{\widehat{\xi}} \sup \{ \overline{p}_E(\widehat{\xi}(s)) ; s \in G \}$ for some positive number $M_{\widehat{\xi}}$;
- (2) $\left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right) a = \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) a ds$ for all $a \in A$;
- (3) $T \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right) = \int_G T(\widehat{\xi}(s)) ds$ for all $T \in L(E, F)$.

Proof. Consider the map $T(\widehat{\xi}) : E \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$T(\widehat{\xi})(\eta) = \int_G \langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \eta \rangle ds.$$

By Lemma 2.2, $T(\widehat{\xi})$ is a module morphism. Let $p \in S(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{p}_{L(E, A)}(T(\widehat{\xi})) &= \sup \{ p(T(\widehat{\xi})(\eta)) ; \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1 \} \\ &= \sup \left\{ p \left(\int_G \langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \eta \rangle ds \right) ; \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq M_{\widehat{\xi}} \sup \{ \sup \{ p(\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \eta \rangle) ; s \in G \} ; \overline{p}_E(\eta) \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq M_{\widehat{\xi}} \sup \{ \overline{p}_E(\widehat{\xi}(s)) ; s \in G \} \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{\widehat{\xi}} = \int_{G_{\widehat{\xi}}} ds$, $G_{\widehat{\xi}} = \text{supp} \widehat{\xi}$.

If $\widehat{\xi} = \xi \otimes f$, $\xi \in E$ and $f \in C_c(G)$, it is not difficult to check that $T(\widehat{\xi}) = T_{\zeta}$, where $\zeta = (\int_G f(s) ds) \xi$ and $T_{\zeta}(\eta) = \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle$ for all $\eta \in E$. Therefore $T(\widehat{\xi}) \in K(E, A)$.

Now suppose that $\widehat{\xi} \in C_c(G, E)$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $p \in S(A)$, there exist $\xi_i \in E$ and $f_i \in C_c(G)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that

$$\sup \{ \overline{p}_E \left(\widehat{\xi}(s) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(s) \xi_i \right), s \in G \} \leq \varepsilon / M_{\widehat{\xi}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_{L(E,A)} \left(T \left(\widehat{\xi} \right) - T \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \otimes f_i \right) \right) &\leq M_{\widehat{\xi}} \sup \{ \bar{p}_E \left(\widehat{\xi}(s) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(s) \xi_i \right), s \in G \} \\ &\leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

From these facts we conclude that $T \left(\widehat{\xi} \right) \in K(E, A)$. Therefore there is a unique element $\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \in E$ such that

$$T \left(\widehat{\xi} \right) (\eta) = \left\langle \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds, \eta \right\rangle$$

and so

$$\left\langle \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds, \eta \right\rangle = \int_G \langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \eta \rangle ds$$

for all $\eta \in E$. Moreover, $\tilde{p}_{L(E,A)} \left(T \left(\widehat{\xi} \right) \right) = \bar{p}_E \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right)$ [10, Lemma 2.1.3 and Corollary 1.2.3], and thus the relation (1) is verified.

Let $a \in A$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) a ds, \eta \right\rangle &= \int_G \langle \widehat{\xi}(s) a, \eta \rangle ds = a^* \int_G \langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \eta \rangle ds \\ &= a^* \left\langle \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds, \eta \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right) a, \eta \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $\eta \in E$. This implies that

$$\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) a ds = \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right) a$$

and thus the relation (2) is verified. Let $T \in L(E, F)$. From

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle T \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right), \eta \right\rangle &= \left\langle \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds, T^*(\eta) \right\rangle = \int_G \langle \widehat{\xi}(s), T^*(\eta) \rangle ds \\ &= \int_G \langle T \left(\widehat{\xi}(s) \right), \eta \rangle ds = \left\langle \int_G T \left(\widehat{\xi}(s) \right) ds, \eta \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $T \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) ds \right) = \int_G T \left(\widehat{\xi}(s) \right) ds$, and then the relation (3) is verified too. \square

Let $g \rightarrow u_g$ be a continuous inverse limit action of G on a full Hilbert A -module E . We can suppose that $u_g = \varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} u_g^p$ for each $g \in G$, where $g \rightarrow u_g^p$, $p \in S(A)$ are continuous actions of G on E_p .

Let $\widehat{\xi} \in C_c(G, E)$ and $f \in C_c(G, A)$. It is not difficult to check that the function

$$(s, t) \rightarrow \widehat{\xi}(s) \alpha_s^u(f(s^{-1}t))$$

from $G \times G$ to E is continuous with compact support and the formula

$$t \rightarrow \left(\widehat{\xi} \cdot f \right) (t) = \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) \alpha_s^u(f(s^{-1}t)) ds, \quad t \in G$$

defines an element $\widehat{\xi} \cdot f \in C_c(G, E)$. Thus, we have defined a map from $C_c(G, A) \times C_c(G, E) \rightarrow C_c(G, E)$ by

$$(\widehat{\xi}, f) \mapsto \widehat{\xi} \cdot f.$$

It is not difficult to check that this map is \mathbb{C} -linear with respect to its variables.

Let $\widehat{\xi} \in C_c(G, E)$ and $f, h \in C_c(G, A)$. From

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\widehat{\xi} \cdot (f \times h) \right) (t) &= \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) \alpha_s^u((f \times h)(s^{-1}t)) ds \\ &= \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) \alpha_s^u \left(\int_G f(r) \alpha_r^u(h(r^{-1}s^{-1}t)) dr \right) ds \\ &= \int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) \left(\int_G \alpha_s^u(f(s^{-1}g)) \alpha_g^u(h(g^{-1}t)) dg \right) ds \\ &= \int_G \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(s) \alpha_s^u(f(s^{-1}g)) \alpha_r^u(h(g^{-1}t)) dg \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left((\widehat{\xi} \cdot f) \cdot h \right) (t) &= \int_G (\widehat{\xi} \cdot f)(s) \alpha_s^u(h(s^{-1}t)) ds \\ &= \int_G \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(r) \alpha_r^u(f(r^{-1}s)) dr \right) \alpha_s^u(h(s^{-1}t)) ds \\ &= \int_G \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(r) \alpha_r^u(f(r^{-1}s)) \alpha_s^u(h(s^{-1}t)) dr \right) ds \\ &= \int_G \left(\int_G \widehat{\xi}(r) \alpha_r^u(f(r^{-1}s)) \alpha_s^u(h(s^{-1}t)) ds \right) dr \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in G$, we conclude that

$$\widehat{\xi} \cdot (f \times h) = (\widehat{\xi} \cdot f) \cdot h.$$

Therefore $C_c(G, E)$ has a structure of right $C_c(G, A)$ -module.

Let $\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \in C_c(G, E)$. It is not difficult to check that the function

$$(s, t) \rightarrow \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(st) \right\rangle \right)$$

from $G \times G$ to A is continuous with compact support and the formula

$$t \rightarrow \int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(st) \right\rangle \right) ds$$

defines an element in $C_c(G, A)$. Thus, we have defined a $C_c(G, A)$ -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} : C_c(G, E) \times C_c(G, E) \rightarrow C_c(G, A)$ by

$$\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)}(t) = \int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(st) \right\rangle \right) ds.$$

It is not difficult to check that the inner product defined above is \mathbb{C} -linear with respect to its second variable.

Let $f \in C_c(G, A)$, $\widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \in C_c(G, E)$. From

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \cdot f \rangle_{C_c(G, E)}(t) &= \int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), (\widehat{\eta} \cdot f)(st) \right\rangle \right) ds \\ &= \int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \int_G \widehat{\eta}(g) \alpha_g^u(f(g^{-1}st)) dg \right\rangle \right) ds \\ &= \int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\int_G \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(g) \alpha_g^u(f(g^{-1}st)) \right\rangle \right) dg \right) ds \\ &= \int_G \left(\int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(g) \alpha_g^u(f(g^{-1}st)) \right\rangle \right) dg \right) ds \\ &= \int_G \left(\int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(g) \right\rangle \right) \alpha_{s^{-1}g}^u(f(g^{-1}st)) dg \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)} \times f \right) (t) &= \int_G \left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,A)} (s) \alpha_s^u (f (s^{-1}t)) ds \\
&= \int_G \left(\int_G \alpha_{r^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(r), \widehat{\eta}(rs) \right\rangle \right) dr \right) \alpha_s^u (f (s^{-1}t)) ds \\
&= \int_G \left(\int_G \alpha_{r^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(r), \widehat{\eta}(rs) \right\rangle \right) \alpha_s^u (f (s^{-1}t)) dr \right) ds \\
&\quad \text{Fubini's Theorem} \\
&= \int_G \left(\int_G \alpha_{r^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(r), \widehat{\eta}(rs) \right\rangle \right) \alpha_s^u (f (s^{-1}t)) ds \right) dr \\
&= \int_G \left(\int_G \alpha_{r^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(r), \widehat{\eta}(g) \right\rangle \right) \alpha_{r^{-1}g}^u (f (g^{-1}rt)) dg \right) dr
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in G$, we deduce that

$$\left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \cdot f \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)} = \left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)} \times f.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)} \right)^\# (t) &= \Delta(t)^{-1} \alpha_t^u \left(\left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)} (t^{-1}) \right)^* \right) \\
&= \Delta(t)^{-1} \alpha_t^u \left(\left(\int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\eta}(st^{-1}) \right\rangle \right) ds \right)^* \right) \\
&= \Delta(t)^{-1} \alpha_t^u \left(\int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\eta}(st^{-1}), \widehat{\xi}(s) \right\rangle \right) ds \right) \\
&= \Delta(t)^{-1} \int_G \alpha_{ts^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\eta}(st^{-1}), \widehat{\xi}(s) \right\rangle \right) ds \\
&= \Delta(t)^{-1} \int_G \alpha_{g^{-1}}^u \left(\left\langle \widehat{\eta}(g), \widehat{\xi}(gt) \right\rangle \right) \Delta(t) dg \\
&= \left\langle \widehat{\eta}, \widehat{\xi} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)} (t)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in G$, we deduce that

$$\left(\left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\eta} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)} \right)^\# = \left\langle \widehat{\eta}, \widehat{\xi} \right\rangle_{C_c(G,E)}.$$

Let $\widehat{\xi} \in C_c(G, E)$ and $p \in S(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\pi_p^A \circ \langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} \right) (t) &= \pi_p^A \left(\int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\xi}(st) \rangle \right) ds \right) \\
&= \int_G \pi_p^A \left(\alpha_{s^{-1}}^u \left(\langle \widehat{\xi}(s), \widehat{\xi}(st) \rangle \right) \right) ds \\
&= \int_G \alpha_{s^{-1}}^{u_p} \left(\langle (\sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi})(s), (\sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi})(st) \rangle \right) ds \\
&= \left(\langle \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi}, \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E_p)} \right) (t)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in G$. From this fact and [2, Remark pp. 300], we deduce that $\pi_p^A \circ \langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)}$ is a positive element in $C_c(G, A_p)$ for all $p \in S(A)$. Therefore $\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)}$ is a positive element in $C_c(G, A)$.

Let $\widehat{\xi} \in C_c(G, A)$ such that $\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} = 0$. Then $\pi_p^A \circ \langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} = 0$ for all $p \in S(A)$, and since $\pi_p^A \circ \langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} = \langle \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi}, \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E_p)}$ for all $p \in S(A)$, we have $\langle \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi}, \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E_p)} = 0$ for all $p \in S(A)$. From this fact and [2, Remark pp. 300], we deduce that $\sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi} = 0$ for all $p \in S(A)$ and so $\widehat{\xi} = 0$. Therefore $\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} = 0$ if and only if $\widehat{\xi} = 0$.

Thus we showed that $C_c(G, E)$ is a right $C_c(G, A)$ -module equipped with a $C_c(G, A)$ -valued inner product which is \mathbb{C} - and $C_c(G, A)$ -linear in its second variable and verify the relations (1), (2) and (3) of Definition 2.1.

Let E^G be the completion of $C_c(G, E)$ with respect to the topology determined by the inner product if we consider on $C_c(G, A)$ the topology determined by the family of C^* -seminorms $\{n_p\}_{p \in S(A)}$. Then E^G is a Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^u} A$ -module [10, Remark 1.2.10].

Lemma 5.3. *Let $p \in S(A)$. Then the Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^{up}} A_p$ -modules $(E^G)_p$ and E_p^G are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{\xi} \in C_c(G, E)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{n_p}(\widehat{\xi})^2 &= n_p \left(\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} \right) \\
&= \sup \left\{ \left\| \varphi \left(\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E)} \right) \right\| ; \varphi \in \mathcal{R}_p(G \times_{\alpha^u} A) \right\} \\
&= \sup \left\{ \left\| \varphi_p \left(\langle \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi}, \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi} \rangle_{C_c(G, E_p)} \right) \right\| ; \varphi_p \in \mathcal{R}((G \times_{\alpha^u} A)_p) \right\} \\
&= \left\| \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi} \right\|_{E_p^G}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can define a linear map $U_p : C_c(G, E) / \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)}) \rightarrow C_c(G, E_p)$ by

$$U_p \left(\widehat{\xi} + \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)}) \right) = \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle U_p \left(\widehat{\xi} + \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)}) \right), U_p \left(\widehat{\xi} + \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)}) \right) \right\rangle_{C_c(G, E_p)} \\ &= \left\langle \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi}, \sigma_p^E \circ \widehat{\xi} \right\rangle_{C_c(G, E_p)} \\ &= \pi_p^A \circ \left\langle \widehat{\xi}, \widehat{\xi} \right\rangle_{C_c(G, E)} \\ &= \left\langle \widehat{\xi} + \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)}), \widehat{\xi} + \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)}) \right\rangle_{(C_c(G, E))_p} \end{aligned}$$

where $(C_c(G, E))_p = C_c(G, E) / \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)})$. For $\xi \in E$ and $f \in C_c(G)$, the map $s \rightarrow \widehat{\xi_f}$, where $\widehat{\xi_f}(s) = f(s)\xi$, defines an element in $C_c(G, E)$ and

$$U_p \left(\widehat{\xi_f} + \ker (\overline{n_p}|_{C_c(G, E)}) \right) (t) = f(t) \sigma_p^E (\xi) = \widehat{\sigma_p^E (\xi)}_f.$$

From these facts, [12, Theorem 3.5] and taking into account that the vector space $(C_c(G, E))_p$ is dense in $(E^G)_p$ and $E_p \otimes_{\text{alg}} C_c(G)$ is dense in E_p^G , we deduce that U_p extends to a unitary operator from $(E^G)_p$ to E_p^G . Therefore the Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^u p} A_p$ -modules $(E^G)_p$ and E_p^G are unitarily equivalent. \square

Corollary 5.4. *The Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^u} A$ -modules E^G and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} E_p^G$ are unitarily equivalent and the locally C^* -algebras $K(E^G)$ and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} K(E_p^G)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. If U_p is the unitary operator from $(E^G)_p$ to E_p^G constructed in the proof of Lemma 5.3, for each $p \in S(A)$, then $(U_p)_p$ is an inverse system of unitary operators and so the Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^u} A$ -module E^G and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} E_p^G$ are unitarily equivalent.

By [14, Proposition 4.7], the locally C^* -algebras $K(E^G)$ and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} K(E_p^G)$ are isomorphic. \square

Definition 5.5. ([6]) *Two locally C^* -algebras A and B are strongly Morita equivalent if there is a full Hilbert A -module E such that the locally C^* -algebras $K(E)$ and B are isomorphic.*

Theorem 5.6. *Let G be a locally compact group and let α and β be two continuous inverse limit actions of G on the locally C^* -algebras A and B . If the actions α and β are strongly Morita equivalent, then the crossed products $G \times_{\alpha} A$ and $G \times_{\beta} B$ are strongly Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha \sim_{E, u}^s \beta$. Then, by Proposition 4.4, the locally C^* -algebras $G \times_{\alpha} A$ and $G \times_{\alpha^u} A$ are isomorphic and so they are strongly Morita equivalent as well as the locally C^* -algebras $G \times_{\beta} B$ and $G \times_{\beta^u} K(E)$. To proof the theorem

it is sufficient to prove that the locally C^* -algebras $G \times_{\alpha^u} A$ and $G \times_{\beta^u} K(E)$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

For each $p \in S(A)$, a simple calculus shows that $\alpha^{u^p} \smile_{E_p, u^p}^s \beta^{u^p}$ and by [2, Remark pp. 300], the Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^{u^p}} A_p$ -module E_p^G implements a strong Morita equivalence between $G \times_{\alpha^{u^p}} A_p$ and $G \times_{\beta^{u^p}} K(E_p)$. Moreover, the linear map $\Phi_p : \Theta(E_p^G) \rightarrow C_c(G, K(E_p))$ defined by

$$\Phi_p \left(\theta_{\widehat{\xi_p}, \widehat{\eta_p}} \right) (t) = \int_G \theta_{\widehat{\xi_p}(s), \Delta(t^{-1}s)u_t^p} (\widehat{\eta_p}(t^{-1}s)) ds$$

extends to an isomorphism of C^* -algebras from $K(E_p^G)$ to $G \times_{\beta^{u^p}} K(E_p)$ [2, Remark pp. 300].

Let E^G be the Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^u} A$ -module constructed above. From Corollary 5.4 and taking into account that for each $p \in S(A)$, the Hilbert $G \times_{\alpha^{u^p}} A_p$ -module E_p^G is full, we conclude that E^G is full. It is not difficult to check that

$$\pi_{pq}^{G \times_{\beta^u} K(E)} \circ \Phi_p = \Phi_q \circ \left(\pi_{pq}^{K(E^G)} \right)_*$$

for all $p, q \in S(A)$ with $p \geq q$, where $\{\pi_{pq}^{G \times_{\beta^u} K(E)}\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$ and $\{\left(\pi_{pq}^{K(E^G)} \right)_*\}_{p, q \in S(A), p \geq q}$ are the connecting maps of the inverse systems of C^* -algebras $\{G \times_{\beta^{u^p}} K(E_p)\}_{p \in S(A)}$ respectively $\{K(E_p^G)\}_{p \in S(A)}$. Therefore $(\Phi_p)_p$ is an inverse system of C^* -isomorphisms, and then the locally C^* -algebras $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} K(E_p^G)$ and $\varprojlim_{p \in S(A)} G \times_{\beta^{u^p}} K(E_p)$ are isomorphic. From this fact, we deduce that the locally C^* -algebras $K(E^G)$ and $G \times_{\beta^u} K(E)$ are isomorphic and so the locally C^* -algebras $G \times_{\alpha^u} A$ and $G \times_{\beta^u} K(E)$ are strongly Morita equivalent. \square

Corollary 5.7. *Let G be a compact group and let α and β be two continuous actions of G on the locally C^* -algebras A and B such that the maps $(g, a) \rightarrow \alpha_g(a)$ from $G \times A$ to A and $(g, b) \rightarrow \beta_g(b)$ from $G \times B$ to B are jointly continuous. If the actions α and β are strongly Morita equivalent, then the crossed products $G \times_{\alpha} A$ and $G \times_{\beta} B$ are strongly Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Since the group G is compact, the actions α and β of G on A and B are continuous inverse limit actions [15] and apply Theorem 5.6. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bakic, D. and Guljas, B., *On a class of module maps of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Math. Commun. **7** (2002), no. 2, 177–192.
- [2] Combes, F., *Crossed products and Morita equivalence*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **49**(1984), 289–306.
- [3] Curto E. R., Muhly, P.S., Williams P.D., *Cross products of strongly Morita equivalent C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **90**(1984), 4, 528, 530.
- [4] Fragoulopoulou, M., *Topological algebras with involution*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 200. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 2005. xvi+495 pp. ISBN: 0-444-52025-2

- [5] Inoue, A., *Inverse limit of C^* -algebras*, Mem. Faculty Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A, **25**(1971), 197-235.
- [6] Joița, M., *Morita equivalence for locally C^* -algebras*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **36**(2004), 802-810.
- [7] Joița, M., *On the linking algebra of a Hilbert module and strong Morita equivalence of locally C^* -algebras*, Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications 1 (2006), 23-32, (Proceedings of Operator Models and Applications (OMA 2006), Timisoara , June 29-July 4, 2006).
- [8] Joița, M., *Crossed products of locally C^* -algebras*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. (to appear), math.OA/0512128.
- [9] Joița, M., *Group actions on Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras*, International Conference on Mathematics and Informatics, Bacau, Romania, September, 18-20, 2006.
- [10] Joița, M., *Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras*, University of Bucharest Press, (2006), 150 pg. ISBN 973737128-3.
- [11] Joița, M., *Tensor products of Hilbert modules over locally C^* -algebras*, Czech. Math. J., **54**(129) (2004), no. 3, 727-737.
- [12] Lance, E. C., *Hilbert C^* -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995.
- [13] Mallios, A., *Topological algebras: Selected Topics*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [14] Phillips, N.C., *Inverse limits of C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **19** (1988), 159-195.
- [15] Phillips, N.C., *Representable K -theory for σ - C^* -algebras*, K -Theory, **3** (1989), 5, 441-478.
- [16] Raeburn, I. and Williams, P.D., *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 60. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. xiv+327 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-0860-5.
- [17] Williams, P.D., *Crossed products of C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs (**134**) 2007, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; 528 pp; ISBN-10: 0-8218-4242-0.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Bucharest, Bd.
Regina Elisabeta nr. 4-12, Bucharest, Romania
mjoita@fmi.unibuc.ro